



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,954	10/07/2005	Jane Barclay	PN/4-32436A	3004
75074 7590 01/23/2008 NOVARTIS INSTITUTES FOR BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH, INC. 400 TECHNOLOGY SQUARE CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139				
EXAMINER				
LOCKKARD, JON MCCLELLAND				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1647				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/23/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/509,954

Applicant(s)

BARCLAY ET AL.

Examiner

JON M. LOCKARD

Art Unit

1647

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21, 23, 25 and 26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21, 23, 25 and 26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-2, 3 (in part), and 7, drawn to a method for treating chronic pain comprising administering a compound which stimulates the activity of Mob-5.

Group II, claim(s) 1-2, 3 (in part), 6, 8, and 23, drawn to a method for treating chronic pain comprising administering a compound which inhibits the activity of Mob-5.

Group III, claim(s) 1-2 and 4, drawn to a method for treating chronic pain comprising administering a compound which stimulates Mob-5 gene expression.

Group IV, claim(s) 1-2, 5, and 25, drawn to a method for treating chronic pain comprising administering a compound which inhibits Mob-5 gene expression.

Group V, claim(s) 9, drawn to a method for identifying a compound which modulates Mob-5 activity.

Group VI, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method for identifying a compound which modulates Mob-5 gene expression.

Group VII, claim(s) 11, drawn to a method for screening compounds which modulate Mob-5 activity and reverse the pathological effects of chronic pain.

Group VIII, claim(s) 12, 13, 18 (in part), and 19, drawn to antibodies to Mob-5, and compositions and kits comprising the same.

Group IX, claim(s) 12 and 14 (in part), drawn to agonists to the Mob-5 receptor, and compositions comprising the same.

Art Unit: 1647

Group X, claim(s) 12 and 14 (in part), drawn to antagonists to the Mob-5 receptor, and compositions comprising the same.

Group XI, claim(s) 15, drawn to a method for diagnosis comprising assaying mRNA levels.

Group XII, claim(s) 16, drawn to a method for diagnosis comprising assaying protein levels.

Group XIII, claim(s) 17 (in part), drawn to a method for treating chronic pain comprising assaying mRNA levels and administering a Mob-5 modulator of undisclosed constitution.

Group XIV, claim(s) 17 (in part), drawn to a method for treating chronic pain comprising assaying protein levels and administering a Mob-5 modulator of undisclosed constitution.

Group XV, claim(s) 18 (in part), drawn to Mob-5 polypeptides and kits comprising the same.

Group XVI, claim(s) 18 (in part), and 20-21, drawn to mob-5 polynucleotides, complements thereof, and vectors comprising the same.

Group XVII, claim(s) 26, drawn to a method for screening compounds which modulate Mob-5 gene expression and reverse the pathological effects of chronic pain.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-XVII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I is directed to a method for treating chronic pain comprising administering a Mob-5 modulator. However, since Liang (U.S. Patent No. 6,902,930, priority to 29 August 2001) discloses a method for treating cancer comprising administering a Mob-5 inhibitor (See columns 17-18, for example), no special technical feature exists for group I as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, because it does not define a contribution over the prior art. It is noted that the instant specification discloses cancer pain as a type of chronic pain. Because the technical feature of Group I is not a special technical feature, and because the technical features of the Groups II-XVII inventions is not present in the Group I claims, unity of invention is lacking. Furthermore, the antibodies of Group VIII, the agonists of the Mob-5 receptor of Group IX, the antagonists of the Mob-5 receptor of Group X, the Mob-5 polypeptides of Group XV, and the Mob-5 polynucleotides and complements thereof of Group XVI are structurally and functionally different chemical compounds, having different structures and activities, and each of which can be made and used without the other compounds. The methods of Groups I-VII, XI-XIV, and XVII require compounds which are functionally different from each other and each can be made and used without the other. Lack of unity is shown because these compounds lack a common utility which is based upon a common structural feature which has been identified as the basis for that common utility.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

4. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

7. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1647

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1647

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jon M. Lockard, Ph.D.** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2717**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.**, can be reached on **(571) 272-0939**. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. M. L./
Examiner, Art Unit 1647
January 8, 2008

/Christine J Saoud/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647